

United States Patent and Trademark Office

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,677	09/08/2003	John P. Foster		1244
75	90 07/02/2004		EXAM	INER
John P. Foster		SWIATEK, ROBERT P		
395 Dusty Rd. St. Augustine, FL 32095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
or magasime,	. 2 02075		3643	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<i>'₹</i>)	10/657,677	FOSTER, JOHN P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		vith the correspondence address				
eriod for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Se</u>	eptember 2003 and 10 F	ebruary 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	<u></u>					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other: _	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	ction Summers	Part of Paner No /Mail Data 20040645				
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040615				

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims are drawn to a "method and mechanism" of propulsion, yet the specification is not clear as to the exact structure of the mechanism or the precise method steps that are believed novel. The sole structure described in the specification and shown in the drawings is a pair of particle accelerator rings; how these rings are arranged, modified, or employed to produce a space engine is not understood. If additional structure is associated with the rings of Figure 1 to complete the space engine, it is not illustrated or described. Moreover, the specification as written is extremely confusing and does not clearly describe the nature of the invention, and to the extent it is understood seems to expound mainly upon the engine's capabilities rather than its components. Applicant's specification does not contain sufficient information to permit one skilled in the art "to make and use the same," i.e., build the invention, as required by 35 USC 112, paragraph one. The specification also should employ reference numerals that refer to the various parts of the invention shown in the drawings. A substitute specification overcoming the above objections

Art Unit: 3643

should be submitted and the original specification canceled. Inclusion of new matter—that is, matter not originally disclosed—will not be permitted. The patent to Stopplecamp (US 5,831,354) has been cited to provide an example of a patent in the currently accepted format.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. Applicant's informal claims are mere recitations of what the invention does and are not drawn to the particular *structure* that produces the propulsion. The preamble of each claim indicates the claim is drawn to both a method *and* a mechanism, which is improper—a claim must be drawn consistently to one of an apparatus or a method. If a claim is set forth in method format, it must recite the precise series of steps comprising the method. Applicant's claims currently include neither method steps nor structure. In claim 1, line 2, it is unclear what is meant by "vertical propulsion" in the context of a device that ostensibly operates in space, in line 3, "the planet" lacks a prior antecedent basis; in claim 2, line 4, "the ship" lacks a prior antecedent basis.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

化高温管性 化氯化二氯氯合物 医鼻子 经自己的证据

Art Unit: 3643

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 1, "The object of this patent is to provide methods" should be changed to –Methods–; it should consist of one paragraph only. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The drawings are objected to because they should employ reference numerals to refer to the various parts of the invention described in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Art Unit: 3643

Page 5

The references to King Jr. (US 3,322,374), Rush (US 2002/0148308 A1), Gary (US 6,545,436 B1), and Maker (US 2003/0173468 A1) have been cited to provide examples of prior art propulsion devices and a magnetic containment system.

多的基準的企業 医学的过去式和过去分词 医皮肤 医二氏管 医多氏性皮肤炎

RPS: @703/308-2700

15 June 2004

Robert P. Swiatele

ROBERT P. SWIATEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 333 3643